

# Open Research Online

---

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

## The genesis and development of mobile learning in Europe

### Book Section

#### How to cite:

Kukulska-Hulme, Agnes; Sharples, Mike; Milrad, Marcelo; Arnedillo-Sánchez, Inmaculada and Vavoula, Giasemi (2011). The genesis and development of mobile learning in Europe. In: Parsons, David ed. Combining E-Learning and M-Learning: New Applications of Blended Educational Resources. Hershey, PA: Information Science Reference (an imprint of IGI Global), pp. 151–177.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© 2011 IGI Global

Version: Version of Record

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:

<http://www.igi-global.com/bookstore/titledetails.aspx?TitleId=47399>

---

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

---

[oro.open.ac.uk](http://oro.open.ac.uk)

# Combining E-Learning and M-Learning: New Applications of Blended Educational Resources

David Parsons  
*Massey University, New Zealand*

|                                |                                  |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Senior Editorial Director:     | Kristin Klinger                  |
| Director of Book Publications: | Julia Mosemann                   |
| Editorial Director:            | Lindsay Johnston                 |
| Acquisitions Editor:           | Erika Carter                     |
| Development Editor:            | Myla Harty                       |
| Production Coordinator:        | Jamie Snavelly                   |
| Typesetters:                   | Keith Glazewski & Natalie Pronio |
| Cover Design:                  | Nick Newcomer                    |

Published in the United States of America by  
Information Science Reference (an imprint of IGI Global)  
701 E. Chocolate Avenue  
Hershey PA 17033  
Tel: 717-533-8845  
Fax: 717-533-8661  
E-mail: [cust@igi-global.com](mailto:cust@igi-global.com)  
Web site: <http://www.igi-global.com>

Copyright © 2011 by IGI Global. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or distributed in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, without written permission from the publisher. Product or company names used in this set are for identification purposes only. Inclusion of the names of the products or companies does not indicate a claim of ownership by IGI Global of the trademark or registered trademark.

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Combining e-learning and m-learning : new applications of blended educational resources / David Parsons, editor.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

Summary: "This book reviews new systems and frameworks for the application of mobile technologies in educational contexts, providing insight on ethical and cross-cultural considerations of mobile learning, as well as different teaching and learning styles"--Provided by publisher.

ISBN 978-1-60960-481-3 (hardcover) -- ISBN 978-1-60960-482-0 (ebook) 1. Mobile communication systems in education. 2. Blended learning. I. Parsons, David, 1959 Oct. 13-  
LB1044.84.C56 2011  
371.3--dc22

2011001083

#### British Cataloguing in Publication Data

A Cataloguing in Publication record for this book is available from the British Library.

All work contributed to this book is new, previously-unpublished material. The views expressed in this book are those of the authors, but not necessarily of the publisher.

# Chapter 10

## The Genesis and Development of Mobile Learning in Europe

**Agnes Kukulska-Hulme**  
The Open University, UK

**Mike Sharples**  
University of Nottingham, UK

**Marcelo Milrad**  
Linnaeus University, Sweden

**Inmaculada Arnedillo-Sánchez**  
Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

**Giasemi Vavoula**  
University of Leicester, UK

### ABSTRACT

*In the past two decades, European researchers have conducted many significant mobile learning projects. The chapter explores how these projects have arisen and what each one has contributed, so as to show the driving forces and outcomes of European innovation in mobile learning. The authors identify context as a central construct in European researchers' conceptualizations of mobile learning and examine theories of learning for the mobile world, based on physical, technological, conceptual, social and temporal mobility. The authors also examine the impacts of mobile learning research on educational practices and the implications for policy. Finally, they suggest future challenges for researchers, developers and policy makers in shaping the future of mobile learning.*

### INTRODUCTION

Mobile learning has evolved from a researcher-led, specialist endeavour, to an everyday activity where mobile devices are personal tools helping

people learn wherever they are, through formal training or informal support and conversation (Kukulska-Hulme et al., 2007). Even so, the effective design and development of mobile learning applications and experiences, and their evaluation, are still core activities where specialist expertise, and the initiatives and insights of teachers and

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-481-3.ch010

learners, have important roles to play. From our perspective as researchers based in Europe, we consider it valuable to highlight and synthesize the innovative design, development and evaluation practices that have characterised European projects over the past two decades. We see this as a step towards building up a more detailed picture of how the field of mobile learning is developing in various parts of the world, given that motivations and conditions are often very different (Rao & Mendoza, 2005; Abdel-Wahab & El-Masry, 2010).

Our expertise in mobile learning includes the founding and current Presidency of the International Association for Mobile Learning and leadership of, or substantial involvement with, major projects and studies including HandLeR (Sharples, 2000; Sharples, Corlett & Westmancott, 2002), MOBILearn (Lonsdale et al., 2004), Mobile Learning Organiser (Corlett et al., 2005), Caerus (Naismith, Sharples & Ting, 2005), Case Studies in Innovative e-Learning Practice (Kukulska-Hulme, 2005), Mobile Learning Landscape Study (Kukulska-Hulme et al., 2005), Myartspace (Sharples et al., 2007a; Vavoula et al., 2007; Vavoula et al., 2009), Personal Inquiry (Anastopoulou et al., 2008; Scanlon et al., in press), MUSIS (Milrad & Jackson, 2008), the Treasure Hunt (Spikol & Milrad, 2008), AMULETS (Kurti et al., 2008), The mobileDNA (Arnedillo-Sánchez, 2008; Byrne, Arnedillo-Sánchez, & Tangney, 2008), LET'S GO (Spikol et al., 2009; Vogel et al., 2010) and MOTILL (Arrigo et al., 2010).

As mobile learning continues to challenge the boundaries imposed by traditional classroom learning, it raises questions about its significance in relation to wider ambitions to improve education and exploit technology in furthering that aim. What shifts in pedagogical and theoretical perspectives have been observed? To what extent are e-learning policy and initiatives taking account of research project results and the potential of mobile learning? We examine the evidence, and highlight issues and barriers to more widespread uptake, such

as provision of teacher training. Throughout the chapter, we identify more general lessons learnt from European mobile learning R&D to date. Although rooted in European research, the particular ways of thinking about technology, design or evaluation, may be transferable elsewhere – we leave it to other researchers and practitioners to make those judgments.

The chapter starts with a review of five projects that have shaped research and development of mobile learning in Europe: HandLeR, MOBILearn, M-Learning; and two projects funded under the Leonardo da Vinci Programme. These projects were not only influential in demonstrating the value of mobile technology for learning, they also provided an opportunity to devise and debate theoretical foundations for a new pedagogy and practice of mobile learning, outlined in the next section. A change in emphasis, away from design of educational software for portable devices and towards socio-technical support for the mobility of learners, led to a more expansive framework for mobile learning and a set of innovative projects across a wide range of physical, institutional and social settings. The section entitled 'Recent Mobile Learning Projects' presents a representative selection of these projects, organised by the setting of the learning. Having indicated the scope of current European research into mobile learning, the Discussion section reviews findings from the projects in relation to designs for learning with personal technologies across contexts. With regard to mobile learning in school settings, future success will depend on the preparedness of teachers to adopt mobile technologies in and beyond the classroom, thereby enabling the expansion of school learning. In the section on 'Teacher Development' we discuss the relations between research, practice and policy, including the implications for teacher training and development. Taking a broader perspective, the impact of mobile learning in Europe has both shaped and been formed by national and European policy and this is discussed in a section on Education

Policy for Mobile Learning. A concluding section suggests future challenges for researchers, developers and policy makers in shaping the future of mobile learning.

## **FOUNDATIONAL EUROPEAN MOBILE LEARNING PROJECTS**

Computer-supported mobile learning in Europe has a history that stretches back to the 1980s when early handheld devices were trialled in a few schools, such as the Microwriter (a handheld writing device with a unique chord keyboard comprising one button for each finger and two for the thumb that could be pressed in combinations to produce characters on a single line display) and the Psion handheld computer. Although later versions of the Psion computer were more widely adopted (Perry, 2003) they were mainly restricted to classroom use for the teaching of English (High & Fox, 1984). A broader perspective on mobile learning arose in the mid 1990s with research projects to exploit a new generation of pen tablet and Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) devices for learning. In this section, we assess the contribution of several European projects that have shaped developments in mobile learning.

### **HandLeR**

One early project was HandLeR (Handheld Learning Resource) from the University of Birmingham (Sharples, 2000; Sharples, Corlett & Westmancott,


2002). The project started in 1998 as an assignment for a group of electronic engineering students to revisit the seminal Dynabook concept of the early 1970s and develop “a portable interactive personal computer, as accessible as a book” (Kay & Goldberg, 1977). HandLeR was based on a theory of learning as conversation (Pask, 1976) instantiated through a set of scenarios including an 11 year old child on a school field trip, a radiologist in her first year of specialist training in neuroradiology, and a senior citizen recalling and organising a lifetime of memories. Figure 1 shows design concepts of a HandLeR device for children and adults. The school field trip scenario was then realised in the design of a handheld device that combined a tablet computer, camera, wireless and mobile phone connection.

The project addressed issues of user interface design for mobile learning. The software for the field trip HandLeR was developed through interviews and questionnaires with children aged 11-12 to create a style of interaction that was more appropriate to children learning in the field than the office-bound ‘desktop’ interface. Through design discussions, the team produced an interface based on the notion of an animate ‘mentor’ that could act both as a learning guide and a means of interaction. In the interface, clicking on body parts launches tools, such as the eyes for a camera, hands for a writing pad, and brain for a concept mapping tool. Figure 2 shows the main HandLeR screen and the concept mapping interface. The concept map provided a general tool to view and browse information.

*Figure 1. Mockups of the HandLeR concept for children and adults*



Figure 2. Main screen and concept mapping tool from the HandLeR children's field trip interface



An important conclusion from trials of the HandLeR system was that the technology at that time had severe limitations which made it almost impossible to use. Handwriting recognition on the computer developed for HandLeR (a Fujitsu Stylistic LT) was poor, the battery life was limited to one hour, and the weight of 1.5 kg meant the device had to be balanced on a flat surface or knee for operation. The main success of the HandLeR project was to establish the concept of mobile and contextual learning outside the classroom, for field trips and professional development. It developed general requirements for technologies to support contextual life-long learning (Sharples, 2000) that are shown below:

- *highly portable*, so that they can be available wherever the user needs to learn;
- *individual*, adapting to the learner's abilities, knowledge and learning styles and designed to support personal learning, rather than general office work;
- *unobtrusive*, so that the learner can capture situations and retrieve knowledge without the technology obtruding on the situation;
- *available* anywhere, to enable communication with teachers, experts and peers;
- *adaptable* to the context of learning and the learner's evolving skills and knowledge;

- *persistent*, to manage learning throughout a lifetime, so that the learner's personal accumulation of resources and knowledge will be immediately accessible despite changes in technology;
- *useful*, suited to everyday needs for communication, reference, work and learning;
- *easy to use* by people with no previous experience of the technology.

Some of these requirements, particularly learner adaptivity, have yet to be fully realised, while further ones have become prominent, notably support for collaboration and teamwork. New devices, such as large-screen smartphones and tablet computers with phone and wireless connections, now offer personal technologies that satisfy all the requirements. They provide platforms for a set of web-based organizing and communication tools that can be accessed from multiple devices and can support a lifetime of individual and shared learning.

In 2001-2, the Fifth Framework research programme of the European Commission funded two major research projects, MOBIlearn and m-Learning. Along with the 'From e-Learning to m-Learning' project funded under the Leonardo da Vinci Community vocational training action programme, these established the scope and direc-



tion of mobile learning across Europe. The main contributions of these projects are outlined below.

## MOBILearn


MOBILearn was a European-led research and development project that ran for 33 months from January 2002 to March 2005 and involved 24 partners from academia and industry in ten countries ([www.mobilelearn.org](http://www.mobilelearn.org)). Its aim was to develop, implement, and evaluate an architecture for mobile learning, based on theories of effective teaching and learning in a mobile environment. The focus of the project was to develop and support learning outside the classroom, including learning in museums, studying for a work-related MBA, and gaining basic medical knowledge.

The ambition of MOBILearn was broad: to provide ubiquitous access to knowledge for target users including mobile workers and learning citizens through appropriate (contextualized and personalized) learning objects and innovative mobile services and interfaces. It proposed to develop new models of learning in a mobile environment, new systems architectures to support the creation, delivery and tracking of learning content, new methods to adapt learning materials to mobile devices and new business models for sustainable deployment of mobile technologies for learning.

One key product of MOBILearn was a general architecture for interoperable services, the “Open Mobile Access Abstract Framework” (Da Bormida et al., 2003). This provided generic services, such as user registration and messaging, management of content, and specific tools for mobile interaction and context awareness. The services could be distributed across the web and were accessed through a portal that adapted to mobile devices including mobile phones, PDAs and tablet computers.

The MOBILearn system was implemented and tested with three scenarios designed to cover a space of non-formal learning events that were either initiated by the learner or an education institution, and either personally or externally

*Figure 3. Types of learning (adapted from Livingstone, 2001).*



structured (Figure 3). The detailed scenarios were developed through a series of design workshops with researchers and stakeholders and are summarised below:

- *Museum:* Two art history students visiting a gallery to learn about the works of Botticelli, where the learning was initiated by the students and structured by their movement round the gallery.
- *First Aid:* A leader of a workplace First Aid team, running a practical course for the team on emergency First Aid procedures, where the learning is initiated by voluntary registration on the course and structured by the course leader.
- *Campus-based:* Students on an MBA course learning about the university on a first-week orientation course and then carrying out a team business administration project in their workplaces, where the learning is initiated by the university and structured by the students' self-directed activity.

Each of the scenarios was tested with elements of the MOBILearn technology, though the museum one was most fully explored. The system was tested with representative users at the Uffizi Gallery in Florence, with further trials of



the context awareness system at the Nottingham Castle Museum and Gallery in Nottingham, UK. The trials were successful in demonstrating that people could interact with the technology in a museum setting, and that the context-awareness system could provide information and guidance depending on the users' location, route, and time at the location. The trials also indicated a number of issues including the importance of offering *variety* in content and ways to perform a task, opportunities for *synchronizing* activity through messages and prompts about the location of other users, the value of *spatial movement* as a way to interact with a mobile system (for example, the user moving from one painting to another, or waiting in front of an exhibit could be used by the system to infer their knowledge or interest) and the need to develop a *simple* and *coherent* interface across a variety of devices.

The aims of the project were met to the extent that it established the viability of handheld technology to support context-sensitive learning in non-formal settings. The lead partner, Giunti Labs developed a mobile extension to its Learn eXact system based on results from MOBIlearn and two other European projects: wearIT@work<sup>1</sup> and iTutor<sup>2</sup>. Following a management buyout, this is now part of a suite of tools marketed by eXact Learning Solutions. A broader consequence of the MOBIlearn project was a shift in focus from learning with handheld devices, towards support for the mobility of learning. A mobile learner may interact with a variety of fixed and portable technologies and a central challenge is to connect the learning across contexts and life transitions. Another outcome of the project was to develop a theory of learning for the mobile age, that explores the system of learning enabled by mobility of people and technology and identifies distinctive aspects of mobile learning, including the distribution of learning across contexts, and the artful creation of impromptu sites for learning involving technology, people and settings (Sharples, Taylor & Vavoula, 2007).

## **M-Learning**

Like MOBIlearn, the M-Learning project was funded by the European Fifth Framework programme, but its aims were different: to help young adults aged 16 to 24, who were disaffected learners and had not succeeded in the education system. The UK Learning and Skills Development Agency (LSDA) coordinated the project, and participant organisations included universities and commercial companies based in the UK, Italy and Sweden (m-Learning, 2005).

The project developed a Learning Management System and a microportal interface to provide access to learning materials and services from a variety of mobile devices, plus web and TV access. Example applications included an authoring system to create and deliver SMS quizzes for topics such as health information and drugs advice, mobile phone games, for example to allow learner drivers to practise driving theory questions, and a media board for learners to build online web pages by sending messages, pictures and audio from their phones.

Reports from the project concluded that mobile learning *can work*, reaching places that other learning cannot, it is best provided as part of a *blend* of learning activities, it offers a *collection of pieces* to be fitted to a learning need rather than a single solution, it is not simply a tool for delivering teaching material but can be used for learning through *creativity*, *collaboration* and *communication*, and that the best way to get started with developing mobile learning is *to try it in practice* through trial and experiment with simple tools.

## **From E-Learning to M-Learning and Mobile Learning: The Next Generation of Learning**

The European Commission has funded mobile learning projects under its Leonardo da Vinci Programme, with the aim to support vocational

education and training using mobile phones for delivery of learning content (see also Sampson, 2006). Two related projects were led by Ericsson. The first, 'From e-Learning to m-Learning', designed pedagogical scenarios, developed courses and trialled them with students using both PDAs and mobile phones. The more recent project developed learning materials for the new generation of devices that offer email, web-browsing capabilities, streaming audio and video and multimedia messaging (Ericsson, 2008). Both projects were somewhat different to the others reported in this chapter, in their focus on delivery of content to mobile devices for training.

A report on the projects indicated that the earlier one solved most of the problems of presenting courses on PDAs, employing Microsoft Reader Works to provide a pleasant study environment (Nix, 2005). This comprised 1000 A4 pages, which could be easily held by the 128 MB of memory of a HP Compaq iPac 5500. The successor project has developed a set of multimedia technologies for delivering interactive content to mobile devices including XHTML 1.0 Transitional, Cascading Style Sheets (CSS) levels 1 & 2, Java Script and Document Object Model (DOM).

A trial was carried out to deliver a course with technical learning content to nineteen Ericsson staff using Sony Ericsson P900 phones. It found that learners were positive about the user-friendliness of the mobile devices and m-learning in general and over half of the participants (56%) agreed that the experience was fun. However, only 45% of the participants were in agreement that m-learning increases the quality of e-learning. The report describes technical difficulties that meant the expectations of participants were not always met:

*Having to reconnect to the network frequently caused some frustration even though the decisions taken on how to design and develop the course led to improved download times, display of content and navigation experience. Those*

*students who experienced difficulty with the size of the screen and other physical limitations felt that the mLearning course did not enable them to learn. (Nix, 2005, p.9)*

Although the system provided tools for communication, such as phone calls and SMS, the study found that participants did not use any communication functionality for the module. This finding differs from some other mobile learning projects, such as the Mobile Learning Organiser (Corlett et al., 2005), where students made considerable use of the communications facilities of the PDA devices. Further research is needed into the preconditions for successful mobile communication in learning, such as having a shared task and opportunities for face to face meetings. It now seems unlikely that most people will adopt separate 'personal learning organisers', but instead they will integrate learning activities into the flow of their daily work and leisure activities. This is already posing challenges to education institutions, as they move from centrally managed Virtual Learning Environments, to supporting a range of personal devices and tools. Some institutions are adopting Personal Learning Environments (PLEs), where these supplement office tools with facilities for capturing and reflecting on learning activities and submitting rich media for assessment. PebblePad (<http://www.pebblepad.co.uk/>), originally developed by graduates from the University of Wolverhampton, and the Learn eXact suite, from eXact learning solutions, (<http://www.exact-learning.com/en/products/learn-exact-suite>) are two European PLEs that run on a variety of desktop and mobile devices.

A general conclusion from the major European mobile learning projects is that while delivery of educational content to mobile devices may have specific uses in training and professional development, there are other approaches to mobile learning that can make better use of the distinctive properties of mobile technology, including context-based

guidance, learning through conversation, and mobile media creation.

## **PEDAGOGICAL AND THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES ON MOBILE LEARNING**

The foundational projects were also influential in shaping the development of pedagogical and theoretical perspectives on mobile learning. The first years of mobile learning saw a number of technology-driven projects that explored the utilisation of new mobile technology to support teaching and learning. However this technocentred view was soon challenged within the field and more elaborate views of mobile learning were articulated along with the first attempts to theorise mobile learning. A brief account of this process and its outcomes is presented here.

Mobile learning pilots and projects have had diverse aims and pedagogical approaches. It could be said that there is little to connect delivery of location-based content on mobile phones with group learning through handheld computers in the classroom, apart from a reliance on handheld devices, so early definitions of mobile learning were anchored on the use of mobile technology:

*It's e-learning through mobile computational devices: Palms, Windows CE machines, even your digital cell phone. (Quinn, 2000)*

However, the focus on technology does not assist in understanding the nature of the learning and overlooks the wider context of learning. In many of the more recent projects, the mobile technology, while essential, is only one of the different types of technology and interaction employed. The learning experiences cross spatial, temporal and/or conceptual borders and involve interactions with fixed technologies as well as mobile devices. Weaving the interactions with

mobile technology into the fabric of pedagogical interaction that develops around them becomes the focus of attention:

*...research attention should be directed at identifying those simple things that technology does extremely and uniquely well, and to understanding the social practices by which those new affordances become powerful educational interventions. (Roschelle, 2003, p.268)*

Moving the focus away from the mobile technology and towards the social practice it enables, allows for a different conceptualization of mobile learning. Kakiara and Sørensen (2002) argue that mobility should not be linked exclusively to human movement across locations and examine three interrelated aspects of mobility: spatial, temporal and contextual. They propose that mobility arises from the interactions people perform, and that mobile devices enable “patterns of social interaction [that] are dynamically reshaped and renegotiated through our everyday activities significantly freed from spatial, temporal and contextual constraints” (p. 1760).

Traxler (2007) argues that mobile devices change the nature of knowledge and discourse, and consequently the nature of learning and learning delivery. They alter the nature of work and they enable new forms of art and performance, thus making mobile learning “part of a new mobile society” (Traxler, 2007:5). This new mobile character of society manifests itself, for example, in the mobile culture developed amongst young people and the increasingly fragmented and mobile work and leisure practices.

Viewing mobility as an emergent property of the interactions between people and technologies places mobile learning under a different light. While discovering a city during a vacation, a tourist may have learnt about it through multiple channels: from a travel internet site on a home desktop computer, a phone conversation to a friend who

visited the city, an in-flight travel magazine and promotional video, a Google map of the city on a mobile phone, an interactive multimedia guide in the tourist information office, printed brochures, handheld audio-guides in the tourist locations, and interactions with local people. It is the combined experience that constitutes mobile learning.

We follow Kakihara and Sørensen (2002) in examining an extended notion of mobility, but employ 'context' as an overarching term to cover interrelated aspects of mobility:

- *Mobility in physical space*: people on the move trying to cram learning into the gaps of daily life or to use those gaps to reflect on what life has taught them. The location may be relevant to the learning, or merely a backdrop.
- *Mobility of technology*: portable tools and resources are available to be carried around, conveniently packed into a single lightweight device. It is also possible to transfer attention across devices, moving from a laptop to a mobile phone, to a notepad.
- *Mobility in conceptual space*: learning topics and themes compete for a person's shifting attention. It was already shown in the early 70s that a typical adult undertakes eight major learning projects a year (Tough, 1971), as well as numerous learning episodes every day, so attention moves from one conceptual topic to another driven by personal interest, curiosity or commitment.
- *Mobility in social space*: learners perform within various social groups, including encounters in the family, office, or classroom context.
- *Learning dispersed over time*: learning is a cumulative process involving connections and reinforcement among a variety of learning experiences (Dierking et al., 2003), across formal and informal learning contexts.

Research into mobile learning then becomes the study of how the mobility of learners, augmented by personal and public technology, can contribute to the process of gaining new knowledge, skills and experience. The challenge here is to define the role of pedagogy and theory in this process.

Depending on the social practices that develop around the use of the mobile technology, different (established) theories of learning become relevant. Naismith et al. (2005) review mobile learning projects and applications that fall under the auspices of behaviourist learning, constructivist learning, collaborative learning, situated learning and informal learning. Kukulska-Hulme and Traxler (2007) maintain that mobile technologies can support diverse teaching and learning styles, and lend themselves particularly well to personalised, situated, authentic and informal learning. The common denominator is context: physical, technological, conceptual, social and temporal contexts for learning. Traxler (2007) argues that a theory of mobile learning "may be problematic since mobile learning is inherently a 'noisy' phenomenon where context is everything" (p6).

Context, then, is a central construct of mobile learning. It is continually created by people in interaction with other people, with their surroundings and with everyday tools. Traditional classroom learning is founded on an illusion of stability of context, by setting up a fixed location with common resources, a single teacher, and an agreed curriculum which allows a semblance of common ground to be maintained from day to day. But if these are removed, a fundamental challenge is how to form islands of temporarily stable context to enable meaning making from the flow of everyday activity. Luckin's (2008, 2010) *Ecology of Resources* model of context acknowledges that pre-fabricated learning contexts are increasingly replaced by learner-generated contexts within which learners pull together available resources to meet their needs. The challenge, she contends, is to scaffold the creation of effective learner-generated contexts.

Sharples et al. (2007b; 2009) propose a characterisation of mobile learning as the private and public processes of coming to know through exploration and conversation across multiple contexts, amongst people and interactive technologies. Their analysis draws on the conception of learning as a tool-mediated socio-cultural activity (Engeström, 1996) to examine how knowledge is constructed through activity in a society that is increasingly mobile. They argue that conversation and context are essential constructs for understanding how mobile learning can be integrated with conventional education, as mobile learning offers new ways to extend education outside the classroom, into the conversations and interactions of everyday life.

Pachler et al. (Pachler 2009; Pachler et al. 2010) have similar views of learning: as the process of coming to know and being able to operate successfully in and across learning contexts and learning spaces. In their ecological approach mobile learning is not delineated by the use of mobile devices to deliver content, but by the transformation of everyday life worlds into spaces for learning. Within those spaces, the socio-culturally bound process of meaning making is situated within a triangle of structures, cultural practices and agency. Learning resides inside as well as outside educational institutions, the world itself becomes the curriculum, and cultural resources (generated and shared) play a central role in the decoding of that curriculum.

To conceive mobile learning as a continuous, almost all-encompassing, activity presents important issues regarding the ethics of mobile learning, in matters such as who owns the products of conversational learning (online discussions, Wikipedia pages, etc.) and what are peoples' rights to be free from continual engagement with educational technology. It also challenges views of formal education as the transmission or construction of knowledge within the constraints set by a curriculum, calling instead for the exploitation of technology in bridging the gap between formal and experiential learning.

## **RECENT MOBILE LEARNING PROJECTS**

In this section we describe a wide range of recent European projects that exemplify this depiction of mobile learning, showing how learning can be supported across contexts and how mobile technologies can support new learning activities that go beyond traditional educational practices. The projects illustrate learning across different educational contexts (schools, universities, museums, informal learning, professional development and workplace settings), with diverse target groups (including children, adult learners, and professionals).

### **Mobile Learning in Schools**

We first present five school projects ranging from a more mature initiative, Learning2Go, to the most recent experimentations, Personal Inquiry (PI) and LET'S GO. They exemplify different models of technological approaches including the adoption of existing familiar and popular devices and mobile games (Nintendogs and eMapps) and the development and deployment of new approaches and applications (in PI and LET'S GO) to support inquiry science learning that integrate classroom and field trip activities using mobile and positioning technologies, sensors and rich digital media.

*Learning2Go* (Faux et al., 2006) is a large scale school-based mobile learning initiative in Wolverhampton, UK. It involves 18 institutions, from nursery to secondary school including special needs schools, and over 1000 students. The project embeds and blends TEL (technology enhanced learning) into the educational practices of schools. It endorses collaborative approaches and promotes learners' responsibility in shaping their own learning. Student ownership and 24/7 access to a handheld device is central to the approach. The Fujitsu Siemens EDA (Educational Digital Assistant) running Windows Mobile 5 is presently in use and the integration of these with



pre-existing software and hardware has enabled, for instance, scenarios encompassing networked interactive whiteboards and EDAs. The schools have different aims which include devising mobile learning practice, encouraging independence and motivation, gaining parental engagement, and raising standards, among others. Practices to be highlighted include: the 24/7 adoption with young children (age 5-6) which allows them to work at home together with their parent using specially designed PDA-based numeracy packs; primary school children moving on to secondary schools bringing their PDAs with them; and a secondary school math class where arithmetic exercises are performed on the networked PDAs in tandem with a SmartBoard from which pupils can copy the exercises directly and through which individual PDA screens can be shared.

*Nintendogs*<sup>3</sup> (2008) is a games-based-learning project involving two Primary 2 classes (6-7 year old children) in Aberdeenshire, Scotland. The idea originated from the teachers and uses the *Nintendogs* game for Nintendo DS as the context for creating a cross-curricular learning hub. The game features a puppy that players have to care for in order to ensure it grows happy and healthy. Dogs can be trained and taken to dog shows where they can win prizes; subsequently, earnings can be spent in dog shops. Learning activities springing from the game involve writing stories and posts for student blogs, role playing a Vet's surgery in class, maths related to prizes won and purchases made, and even the establishment of a real dog walking service. Students are encouraged to take pride and ownership of their project and the learning activities, and are engaged in peer-tutoring involving older classes and their own classmates.

The *eMapps* project has been exploring how games and mobile technologies can be used to provide novel experiences for children in the school curriculum (Davies, 2009). One of the objectives of the project was to support creativity in and outside the classroom and to promote the development of new teaching and learning

practices. School children in the age group 9–12 from Europe's New Member States were the target group in this project. Games and learning activities developed in the *eMapps* project have been piloted and tested in 17 schools across eight countries. One of the most salient features of this project included enabling schools and teachers to design and play multi-level, mixed-reality games. One of the main outcomes of the project has resulted in the development of a web-based game learning application platform that can be used for implementing games-based learning activities in schools and in informal educational settings. The *eMapps* project ended in 2008 and was funded under the European Commission's IST 6th Framework research programme.

The *Personal Inquiry (PI)* (Scanlon et al., in press) project aims to understand how personal and mobile technologies can be designed and deployed to make the processes of evidence-based scientific inquiry personally relevant and readily accessible to young people (aged 11-15 years). It also aims to support the continuity of science learning between classrooms and non-formal settings. The PI project adopted an approach called 'scripted inquiry learning' which provides structured learning activities that start in a classroom or lab and extend into scientific investigations in the home and outdoors. Informed by a series of inquiry projects with schools, the project has been developing a toolkit to support inquiry learning across a range of learning contexts. The toolkit runs on ultra-mobile PCs and it has been tested with school students for exploring topics of personal health and environmental science. Through a combination of critical incident studies and learning outcome measures the impact of the method and technology on learning has been evaluated. Important lessons learned from this project include the need to support teachers in coordinating inquiry learning activities outside the classroom, and the contrasting problems of ensuring students are personally committed to the inquiry.

The **LET'S GO** (Spikol et al., 2009; Vogel et al., 2010) project aims at designing challenging collaborative learning activities supported by mobile and sensor technologies. These combinations of technologies enable the creation of “mobile science collaboratories” that can be defined as a set of mobile devices, open software tools, and resources, with online participation frameworks for learner collaboration and inquiry. Learning activities in the LET'S GO project are developed through a co-design process, where teachers, researchers, scientists, and developers work together in a highly facilitated, team-based process to design inquiry-based, collaborative science education interventions in schools. A sample scenario enables students to investigate water quality at a local stream using mobile sensors, pen-based technologies, and geo-tagged image making that are integrated into an interactive learning environment that supports inquiry-based learning with reflection and discourse. During the period comprised between March 2009 and June 2010 more than 150 students from three schools in Sweden and two schools in US have participated in the different trials. This project is carried out in collaboration between research groups in Sweden and in the USA.

## **Mobile Learning in University Settings**

A distinct characteristic of university and colleges' mobile learning projects, in comparison to other settings such as museums or schools, seems to be the scale of participants involved. Thus, projects involving hundreds of participants are not uncommon in third level mobile learning as portrayed by the following projects. They exemplify some of the most popular approaches to utilising mobile learning in university and comprise three categories: podcasts to support or reinforce learning, SMS to provide additional lecturer-student communication channels, and handheld devices to scaffold and support off-campus learning.

The use of podcasts to repeat, summarise or supplement lectures in a wide range of domain areas has proliferated in recent years. For instance, in a Business and Management degree, Evans (2008) used three pre-exam revision podcasts with 198 students and reported these being more effective revision tools than text books or even the students' own notes. Similarly, in the teaching of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Andrew (2008) reports on the use of nine video podcasts by a class of 111. In this project the podcasts were released prior to the lectures and intended as preparatory material for students. Although well received by the students and widely utilised, Andrew highlights the substantial time investment on the production of the same as a drawback for lecturers and the fact that only 9% of the students actually watched the video cast on a mobile device. Less common in third level is the creation of podcasts by students. However, Lazzari (2009) reports that on the Multimedia Communication programme, students designed, recorded and edited podcasts and these activities contributed to the development of reflective thinking skills.

**StudyLink** (Naismith, 2007), **TVremote** (Bär et al., 2005), and **Pls Turn UR Mobile On** (Markett et al., 2006) are three SMS university-based initiatives intended to portray the volume and diversity of projects in this area. StudyLink investigates the feasibility of an ‘email to text message’ service for administrative communication between university staff and students. The latter two respectively explore the in-class use of SMS to deliver students' feedback to lecturers, and to promote student-initiated interactivity loops. All make use of students' own mobile phones and existing mobile networks and services but have developed purpose-built applications to manage and display the SMS correspondence. Costs incurred during the projects have been absorbed internally by the projects, but cost is generally highlighted as a barrier for the wide adoption of SMS learning activities.



Other projects have targeted students on practice-based courses that include clinical placements. For example, the *myPad* project (Whittlestone et al., 2008) addresses the issue of supporting university students' active engagement in learning while off-campus. In particular, it aims to support veterinary students in clinical practice and it offers a web-based clinical activity tool accessible through handheld devices. The capabilities of the devices (HTC M3100 and HTC M5000) and functionalities of the tool allow learners to write notes and reflections on cases, to capture graphical or audio data, and to attach these and any other relevant resources to the notes.

### **Mobile Learning in Museums and Informal Learning Settings**

We present here six projects that describe how different technologies and approaches to educational design have been used to support learning in museums: mobile smart phones and PDAs enable and support inquiry, role-play, co-interpretation, or co-visiting in the process of learning from or about the informal learning context. These are settings that can facilitate informal learning, although in many cases the learning experience is given an overall structure by a teacher. The majority of these projects have introduced mobile phones as a central device for data collection, communication and content delivery.

In the *Mystery in the museum* (Cabrera et al., 2005), groups of students are engaged in collaborative game-based problem solving to augment their interaction with the museum. Before the visit, the teacher provides general background information. At the museum, groups of students receive additional information through mobile devices, related to the exhibits and the problem-solving ('mystery') task. Each group is assigned a different part of the task that they have to carry out collaboratively. More specifically, each group is assigned a set of puzzles, and each group member receives a random collection of pieces from

the group's puzzles. Group members then have to exchange puzzle pieces as necessary for each group member to solve their own puzzle. Putting all the group puzzles together helps the group to complete their task.

*Frequency 1550* (Admiraal et al., 2007) is a mobile game for secondary school pupils that brings the late medieval city of Amsterdam of the 1550s to life for them to investigate and learn about. Via the Universal Mobile Telecommunications System network, the city's bailiff of the 1550s is getting in contact with 21st century Amsterdam. The bailiff takes the pupils for pilgrims visiting Amsterdam in 1550 in order to visit the Holy Host, a special relic that has disappeared, and the bailiff suggests a deal: he will give them easy access to citizenship provided they help him retrieve the holy relic. The pupils work in two competing pairs, with one pair located at the Headquarters and the second walking around Amsterdam. Along the way, pupils learn about life and customs in medieval Amsterdam.

The *MyArtSpace* project enables children visiting a museum with their school to work in groups and carry out inquiries related to the museum content (for more details see Vavoula et al., 2009). Before the visit, the teacher sets the class a big question to explore in the museum, and works with them to develop related skills of evidence assessment and collection. At the museum, the children are loaned mobile phones and work in groups to explore the museum and collect exhibits and personal audio, photo and text notes, all related to their inquiry task. Back in the classroom, the children use the collected items to create, present and share personal galleries that demonstrate the outcomes of their inquiry activity. This has now been developed as a commercial service<sup>4</sup>.

The aim of the *Gidder* project is to support and extend collective knowledge building across classroom and museum settings. In advance of the museum visit, the students work in groups in the classroom to select artworks in the *Gidder* wiki that interest them, select those they will be focusing

on in the museum, and write related labels (Pierroux, 2008). Each group has its own workspace. At the museum, students explore the exhibition and their selected artworks and use their mobile phones to send multimedia messages (MMS) with labelled information to the wiki's blog. These are shared with the rest of the class. Back at school the groups use the wiki and blog resources to discuss and develop their group interpretations. The wiki labels from all groups appear in a tag cloud, which helps to foster awareness of the interpretation process across groups as well as to scaffold interpretation.

Bletchley Park is a historic site of secret British codebreaking activities during World War II and birthplace of the modern computer. While touring the site, visitors can use their mobile phones to send text messages (SMS) containing specific text terms to a dedicated mobile phone number about exhibits that interest them. The text terms are displayed on special *Bletchley Park Text* signs next to the exhibits (Mulholland et al., 2005). Back home, visitors then have access to additional content related to their selected exhibits. Access to the web site is authorised by their mobile phone number. After login they can semantically browse their selected content and add further text terms as needed.

Following up personal interests and sharing them with others, are strong features of all these projects. A further way to promote this is to connect 'virtual' visitors with those who are physically present in a museum. Developed as part of the Equator project, the *City* system allows three visitors, one on-site and two remote, to visit the Charles Rennie Mackintosh room in the Lighthouse Centre for Architecture, Design and the City, in Glasgow, simultaneously (Galani & Chalmers, 2003). The on-site visitor carries a PDA that is location-aware and displays the changing positions of all three visitors on a map of the gallery. The two off-site visitors use two different environments: a web-only environment and a Virtual Environment (VE). The web visitor

has access to a map of the gallery, and the VE visitor uses a 3D display of the site with avatars representing the location of the other visitors. All three visitors share an open audio channel, enabling them to converse in real time. The off-site visitors have access to multimedia information that is presented to them as they move around the map. All visitors can look at the same display when in the same location.

### **Mobile Learning for Professional Development and Workplace Settings**

Mobile technologies have not only been used to support learning in schools, universities and museums but also in professional development and workplace settings. The projects below present a number of different cases that combine various educational approaches and technologies in the field of medical education and in-situ competence development.

The aim of the *Knowmobile* project (Smørdal & Gregory, 2003) was to explore how wireless and mobile technologies, in particular Personal Digital Assistants (PDAs) could be useful in medical education and clinical practice. This project brought together academic and industrial partners aiming to support Problem-Based Learning (PBL) and Evidence-Based Medicine (EBM) following the medical education reform in Norway. Some of the research questions under investigation were as follows: What does 'just-in-time' access to information mean in clinical settings? How can health professionals be helped with access to the most up-to-date medical information? The medical students were given different mobile devices (PDAs and PDAs with GSM capability) and were placed in distinct educational settings. The trials revealed that students were using the devices mainly to access information from the digital medical handbook (available as an e-book in each device) and as communication devices (mainly sending SMS messages and to coordinate social

activities). The results of this project show that the use of PDAs in medical education should be tightly embedded in social and technical networks in which the activity is taking place. The authors summarized that PDAs should not only be considered as Personal Digital Assistants, but rather as gateways in complicated webs of interdependent technical and social networks.

In the *MeduMobile* project (Schrader et al., 2006) mobile video communication and notebooks were used to develop learning scenarios to support medical students and teachers in the field of pathology. These scenarios were tested and evaluated by medical students in Germany. The main educational goal was to train students in various medical routines, conferences or meetings such as doctor-patient bedside conversation. These activities were filmed by video teams and broadcast live via the WLAN of the Charité campus to medical students attending different courses. One type of learning arrangement was the autopsy conference as an on-call scenario. The MeduMobile seminar system was used to broadcast the video sessions to thirteen access points available on two campuses. A questionnaire was developed to investigate the response and attitudes towards the use of the mobile seminar system. The responses from the participants indicated a high acceptance rate of using this type of mobile learning to support difficult medical cases that can promote complex learning.

In the Danish *Flex-Learn* project (Gjedde, 2008), the Danish University of Education together with industrial partners are investigating new ways to support truck drivers' competence development using mobile video-based learning. This project uses 3G enabled mobile phones and PCs as a learning platform. Mobile video materials have been developed to support experiential and situated learning in realistic work situations. Learning activities include video learning with a mobile video coach, certification and remediation of content. The digital materials developed for these different purposes support multimodal

learning experiences including videos, sounds, textual overlays and multiple-choice questions. The project uses a learning management system that gives an overview of the various mobile and PC activities at all times. An action research approach has been used in the design phases of the project. Data collection methods include observations and interviews during three pilot courses, as well as testing the use of the system on the road with truck drivers. Preliminary results of these trials indicate that truck drivers have positive attitudes towards this type of learning, especially when it can be integrated into the workplace.

Derycke and colleagues (2007) at the University of Lille in France have explored the potential of using pervasive computing and devices to provide dynamic adaptation of information contents and services according to various contexts. They developed a system called *Personal Training Assistant* (PTA), that combines mobile devices and SmartSpaces (sensors such as RFID tags and Bluetooth devices) to support workplace learning in shops and supermarkets, in the particular domain of Hifi/Video equipments. The central idea is to utilize the mobile device, provided to the seller for several additional purposes (stocks management for example), in order to support both learning and coaching of the seller/learner in a variety of contexts. This particular project illustrates an emerging line of research in the field of mobile learning, of enriching the interaction between concrete objects and people supported by the use of sensors and contextual computing.

## DISCUSSION OF THE PROJECTS

It is interesting to note that, in all the above projects, fixed technologies like desktop PCs play an important role in the boundary crossing between different learning contexts. Without downplaying the role of mobile devices and technologies in situating learning within authentic contexts, none of the projects is limited to a mobile device to

implement the whole of the learning experience. Although the mobile device may enable initial in-context interaction and content delivery that can stimulate interest, its most innovative use is in book-marking areas of interest and creating context annotations that can trigger and support follow-up learning (Vavoula et al., 2007). This follow-up learning is in most cases supported by fixed technologies. Many of the projects discussed above involve elements of inquiry-based and problem-based learning. This is not surprising in relation to museums and similar settings, as they have been identified as ideal environments for inquiry-led learning (McLeod & Kilpatrick, 2001).

Mobile technologies offer the potential for a new phase in the evolution of technology-enhanced learning, marked by a continuity of the learning experience across different learning contexts. Chan et al. (2006) use the term “seamless learning” to describe these new situations. Seamless learning implies that students can learn whenever they are curious in a variety of scenarios and that they can switch from one scenario to another easily and quickly using their personal mobile device as a mediator. These scenarios include learning individually, with another student, a small group, or a large online community, with possible involvement of teachers, relatives, experts and members of other supportive communities, face-to-face or in different modes of interaction and at a distance in places such as classrooms, outdoors, parks and museums. This wide spectrum of different learning scenarios allows for the creation and design of new possibilities to augment learning. Rogers and Price (2009) have categorized these new learning opportunities into four major types: (1) Physical exercise games; (2) Participatory simulations; (3) Field trips and visits; and (4) Content creation.

In describing projects in this chapter, we have illustrated examples of seamless learning spaces that augment physical spaces with information exchanges as well as geospatial mappings between the mobile device and the real-world to

facilitate navigation and context-aware applications. According to Pea and Maldonado (2006) these features play an important role in designing mobile applications with an emphasis on inquiry processes, social constructivist theories, and distributed cognition designs.

We have shown how mobile technologies have been used in Europe to support learning across various contexts with diverse target groups, and according to different learning principles underpinning design, development and implementation. The projects demonstrate how a combination of mobile and fixed technologies can sometimes support different parts of the learning experience. More importantly, they demonstrate how this blend of technologies and educational approaches can support the design of learning experiences that cross spatial, temporal and conceptual boundaries, and interweave with the learner’s everyday life and into her web of personal knowledge, interests and learning needs. In the sections which follow we consider how mobile learning is having an impact on formal educational settings.

## **TEACHER DEVELOPMENT**

Although almost all schools across Europe currently forbid the use of mobile phones in the classroom, it is becoming clear that children are engaging in subversive phone use. As part of a study for Becta of children’s use of technology undertaken by the University of Nottingham (Crook et al., 2008), 2611 children in Years 8 and 11 from 27 schools were surveyed. A series of questions asked about their mobile phone activity. 33% of children reported having sent a text message at school for work purposes, 24% reported taking a photo and sending it by phone at school (for work) and 36% reported accessing the internet by phone at school (for work). This is despite mobile phones being banned in class at the schools surveyed.

It is important to consider the perspective of teachers (at all education levels) and the opportunities they have for professional development in this area of technology use. At European and individual state level, there appears to be little teacher development or training activity addressing mobile learning. However the issues of training and other forms of development have been explored through a number of projects, revealing contrasting perspectives on adoption of mobile technologies into formal education.

An obvious factor influencing teacher perception and adoption of mobile technology as a tool for learning is ready accessibility of devices (Mifsud & Smørdal, 2006). Yet researchers investigating the provision of handheld devices to support trainee teachers on placement in schools (Wishart, 2008; Wishart et al., 2005) have reported low levels of usage despite a year-long loan arrangement. Trainee teachers reported unease with the use of handheld technologies in the presence of other teachers or even in class (ibid). In a similar vein, veterinary students in clinical practice taking part in the myPad project (described earlier), reported infrequent handheld usage (Whittlestone, 2008). They, too, felt it was inappropriate to use their handheld in the surgery and in front of clients and were fearful that observers could have thought they were texting or playing games (ibid).

These two examples of trainees' refusal to use their handhelds when in professional settings raises interesting issues in relation to social codes of acceptable use of mobile technologies. Wishart (2008) argues that trainee teachers on placement in schools have not yet formed their pedagogical identities and hence are particularly vulnerable to existing school cultural norms and pedagogical practices in the school. However, some teachers are making efforts to change existing practices. The AMULETS project, (Spikol et al., 2008) explores how teachers can develop and implement novel educational scenarios combining outdoors and indoors activities that use mobile computing technologies together with fixed computers.

Järvelä et al. (2007) have also tried to show teachers how collaborative learning can be structured and regulated with wireless networks and mobile tools in higher education.

Lessons learnt from successful projects such as the Multimedia Portables for Teachers Pilot (MPTP) (Harrison et al., 1998) indicate that some teachers can also engage successfully with technology when there is no formal training structure and they are given freedom to decide when and how to use it (Fisher, 2006). This enables the emergence of meaningful and contextualised learning about and with the technology (ibid).

A review on Teachers' Learning with Digital Technologies (Fisher et al., 2006) highlights that, contrary to common assumptions, teachers' learning does not have to be implicit. Like their students, they too can benefit from playful, active, and experiential learning in which the opportunity to construct, enact, and revise their learning path is granted. However, the emphasis on students and teachers' performance endorsed by many educational establishments and enforced through curricula and assessment, affects teachers and their organisation of teaching and learning. Although teachers' knowledge encompasses knowing their subject and strategies to teach, it is recognised that in this rapidly changing world they will need to learn to teach in ways they have never been taught (Fisher et al., 2006). In particular when learning about technology, teachers should be given opportunities to engage in purposeful activities (ibid) in which the affordances of technologies are made explicit so that they can make informed decisions (Conole & Dyke, 2004).

## **EDUCATION POLICY FOR MOBILE LEARNING**

We now discuss the extent to which e-learning policy and initiatives are being shaped by research project results and the potential of mobile learning. The European Commission has been



influential in promoting mobile learning through the Framework programmes, leading to a growing awareness by policy makers of opportunities for extending formal education by adoption of mobile technology.

In the UK (chosen here as an exemplar), policy makers have shown awareness of developments in mobile learning by commissioning research overviews and signalling the emergence of mobile technologies among the many new tools available to learners. In 2004-5, JISC, the Joint Information Systems Committee, commissioned a series of case studies and a landscape study of mobile learning practice across the post-16 sector (Kukulska-Hulme, 2005; Kukulska-Hulme et al., 2005). Becta, the UK agency for learning and technology, has commissioned a series of reports on mobile learning, the most recent being from the University of Bristol (McFarlane et al., 2008). The report indicates that the main policy issues to be addressed are those of sustainability and scalability, with a need for new models of funding and support if examples from successful pilot projects are to be more widely adopted. While the importance of mobile learning has been acknowledged by senior policy makers in the UK, it has not yet been translated into strategy. Thus, the UK Government is developing policy to connect home and school access to learning (DCSF, 2008, p. 77), but does not explicitly mention the value of mobile technologies. The main UK strategy document for technology across all education sectors makes only one passing reference to mobile devices (Becta, 2008a).

At the same time as policy in formal education is being challenged by emerging practices, there is some evidence that ideas about the value of informal learning, and the role of mobile technology in support of this, are beginning to shape educational policies and strategies. A technology strategy for further education, skills and regeneration in England (Becta, 2008b) acknowledges developments such as the increase in personal handheld devices, but notes that although helpful, these

also “bring new challenges to the system” (p.22). The Department for Innovation, Universities and Skills in England issued a consultation document (DIUS, 2008; COI, 2008) which highlights the role of handheld devices; it is intended that this consultation will mark the start of a debate that will lead to a policy paper on informal adult learning for the 21<sup>st</sup> century:

*Ever-expanding learning opportunities are possible through the availability of hand-held devices, wide access to broadband and wireless connections... Chip technologies will increasingly enable information in galleries, museums and architecturally interesting buildings to be available through mobile phones, which will in turn provide routes to post-visit discussion groups, further educational material, and/or informal and formal learning... (DIUS, 2008, p.26-28)*

A research project report for the Higher Education Academy on bridging formal and informal learning (Trinder et al., 2008) also recommends that more emphasis should be placed on mobile devices and universal free access to high-speed networks from anywhere within the campus. However the process of implementing such recommendations is not always a smooth operation. A broader perspective was taken by the MOTILL project European Commission within the National Lifelong Learning Strategies (NLLS) – Transversal programme (<http://www.motill.eu/>). The final report of the project indicated that important issues need to be addressed by policy makers to enable effective use of mobile technologies for lifelong learning. These include training educational staff across the spectrum of education in managing and assessing learning with mobile devices, addressing digital content rights of material captured on mobile devices, and defining rules on personal privacy (Arrigo et al, 2010).

There seems to be consensus in our field that the inherent characteristics of mobile technologies are particularly well suited to support learning rooted

in social, constructivist, contextual and collaborative principles. They offer the opportunity for rich, authentic learning in which curriculum, timetable, and assessment do not constrain learners' playful experiences, crossing boundaries between formal and informal learning.

## CONCLUSION AND FUTURE CHALLENGES

This chapter has presented a reflective overview of developments in mobile learning from the perspective of researchers working in Europe. Context has been identified as a central construct in mobile learning developments, guiding projects to use mobile technologies to help connect learning across contexts and life transitions, and to form bridges between formal and informal learning. Learners' personal interests are frequently supported through mobile technologies; learner collaboration is also important, and specifically the ability to support collaborative and conversational learning taking place outside the classroom, in homes, workplaces and in museums. User interface and interaction design has addressed some of the requirements of mobile learners in these contexts, although there is still much to be done. The European perspective is also characterised by an interest in rethinking pedagogical approaches, seeking to widen learner participation, and in developing theoretical perspectives on mobile learning.

The Commission of the European Communities announced in 2008 that it was preparing Europe's 'digital future' through the identification of strategic challenges for competitiveness and ICT take-up in Europe, stating that the implementation of Internet Protocol IPv6 would allow more novel applications based on wireless technologies, expanding broadband connectivity to include new mobile devices enabling ubiquitous usage; in particular, RFID and sensor technologies embedded in products would extend the Internet to the 'Internet of Things' (Commission of the European

Communities, 2008). Researchers in mobile and ubiquitous learning will be keen to tackle the new challenges arising from these technical developments and from increased learner activity across multiple virtual and physical contexts, spanning formal and informal learning. This will require a combination of technical, pedagogical and sociological expertise to be able to make sense of, and give some direction to, emerging forms of mobile and blended learning.

## REFERENCES

- Abdel-Wahab, A. G., & El-Masry, A. A. (Eds.). (2010). *Mobile Information Communication Technologies Adoption in Developing Countries: Effects and Implications*. Information Science Reference. Hershey: IGI Global.
- Admiraal, W., Raessens, J., & Van Zeijts, H. (2007). Technology enhanced learning through mobile technology in secondary education. In Cunningham, P., & Cunningham, M. (Eds.), *Expanding the knowledge economy. Issues, applications, case studies (Part 2)* (pp. 1241–1248). Amsterdam: IOS Press.
- Anastopoulou, S., Sharples, M., Wright, M., Martin, H., Ainsworth, S., Benford, S., et al. (2008). Learning 21st Century Science in Context with Mobile Technologies. In *Proceedings of mLearn 2008 Conference*, Wolverhampton.
- Andrew, M. (2008). Video Podcasts to Augment the Teaching of Pharmaceutical Microbiology. In Arnedillo-Sánchez, I., & Isaías, P. (Eds.), *Mobile Learning 2008* (pp. 186–190). Lisbon: International Association for Development of the Information Society Press.
- Arnedillo-Sánchez, I. (2008). The Mobile Digital Narrative Tool. In Arnedillo-Sánchez, I., & Isaías, P. (Eds.), *Mobile Learning 2008* (pp. 77–83). Lisbon: International Association for Development of the Information Society Press.



- Arrigo, M., Di Giuseppe, O., Fulantelli, G., Gentile, M., Merlo, G., Seta, L., & Tabi, D. (Eds.). (2010). *MOTILL, Mobile Technologies in Lifelong Learning: Best Practices*. Italian National Research Council, Institute for Educational Technology.
- Bär, H., Tews, E., & Röbling, G. (2005). Improving Feedback and Classroom Interaction Using Mobile Phones. In Isaias, P., Borg, C., Kommers, P., & Bonanno, P. (Eds.), *Mobile Learning 2005* (pp. 55–62). Malta: International Association for Development of the Information Society Press.
- Becta (2008a). *Harnessing Technology: Next Generation Learning*. Retrieved October 8, 2010, from <http://publications.becta.org.uk/display.cfm?resID=37348>
- Becta (2008b). *Technology strategy for further education, skills and regeneration: Implementation plan for 2008-2011*. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <http://www.elearningeuropa.info/files/media/media18990.pdf>
- Byrne, P., Arnedillo-Sánchez, I., & Tangney, B. (2008). A Mobile Computer Supported Collaborative Learning Tool for Digital Narrative Production. Paper accepted for publication in the *Proceedings of mLearn 2008 Conference*, Wolverhampton.
- Cabrera, J. S., Frutos, H. M., Stoica, A. G., Avouris, N., Dimitriadis, Y., Fiotakis, G., & Liveri, K. D. (2005, September). *Mystery in the Museum: Collaborative Learning Activities using Handheld Devices*. Paper presented at Mobile HCI 2005, Salzburg, Austria.
- Chan, T.-W., & Milrad, M. (2006). One-to-one technology-enhanced learning: an opportunity for global research collaboration. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning Journal*, 1, 3–29. doi:10.1142/S1793206806000032
- COI. (2008). *Informal Adult Learning – Shaping the Way Ahead. Consultation Response Analysis Report*. Central Office of Information (COI). Department for Innovation, Universities and Skills. October 2008. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <http://www.bis.gov.uk/assets/biscore/innovation/docs/s/urn-ial2008d-shaping-the-way-ahead-consultation-analysis>
- Commission of the European Communities. (2008). *Preparing Europe's digital future: i2010 Mid-Term Review*. Brussels, 17.04.2008. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from [http://ec.europa.eu/information\\_society/eeurope/i2010/docs/annual\\_report/2008/i2010\\_mid-term\\_review\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/information_society/eeurope/i2010/docs/annual_report/2008/i2010_mid-term_review_en.pdf)
- Conole, G., & Dyke, M. (2004). What are the affordances of information and communication technologies? *ALT-J. Research in Learning Technology*, 12(2), 113–124.
- Corlett, D., Sharples, M., Chan, T., & Bull, S. (2005). Evaluation of a Mobile Learning Organiser for University Students. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 21, 162–170. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2729.2005.00124.x
- Crook, C., Cummings, J., Fisher, T., Graber, R., Harrison, C., & Lewin, C. (2008). *Web 2.0 technologies for learning: The current landscape – opportunities, challenges and tensions*. Coventry: BECTA.
- Da Bormida, G., Bo, G., Lefrere, P., & Taylor, J. (2003). An Open Abstract Framework for Modeling Interoperability of Mobile Learning Services. *European Journal of Engineering for Information Society Applications*, 5, 1.
- Davies, R. (2009). Games and Mobile Technology in School-Based Learning: The Results of eMapps.com. In Petrovic, O. & Brand, A. (Eds.), *Serious Games on the Move*, Springer-Verlag, 209–223.

- DCSF. (2008). *The Children's Plan: Building Brighter Futures*. Department for Children, Schools and Families. Norwich: HMSO. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from [http://publications.education.gov.uk/eOrderingDownload/The\\_Childrens\\_Plan.pdf](http://publications.education.gov.uk/eOrderingDownload/The_Childrens_Plan.pdf)
- Derycke, A., Chevrin, V. & Vantroys, T. (2007). P-Learning and e-Retail: a case study and a flexible Software Architecture. *Proceedings of Pervasive Learning: Design Challenges and Requirements* workshop held at PERVASIVE 2007, May 13th, 2007, Toronto, Canada.
- Dierking, L. D., Falk, J. H., Rennie, L., Anderson, D., & Ellenbogen, K. (2003). Policy statement of the "informal science education" ad hoc committee. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 40(2), 108–111. doi:10.1002/tea.10066
- DIUS. (2008). *Informal Adult Learning: Shaping the way ahead*. January 2008. Consultation document for the period Jan-June 2008. Department for Innovation, Universities and Skills. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from [http://www.dius.gov.uk/publications/DIUS\\_adu\\_lea\\_bro\\_an\\_05%208.pdf](http://www.dius.gov.uk/publications/DIUS_adu_lea_bro_an_05%208.pdf)
- Engeström, Y. (1996). *Perspectives on activity theory*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- (1984, June)... *English Education*, 18(2), 15. doi:10.1111/j.1754-8845.1984.tb00662.x
- Ericsson (2008). *Mobile Learning: The Next Generation of Learning*. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <http://learning.ericsson.net/mlearning2/project.shtml>.
- Evans, C. (2008). The effectiveness of m-learning in the form of podcast revision lectures in higher education. *Computers & Education*, 50(2), 491–498. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2007.09.016
- Faux, F., McFarlane, A. E., Roche, N., & Facer, K. (2006). *Handhelds: Learning with Handheld Technologies*. Bristol: Futurelab.
- Fisher, T., Higgins, C., & Loveless, A. (2006). *Teachers Learning with Digital Technologies: A review of research and projects*. Bristol: Futurelab.
- Galani, A., & Chalmers, M. (2003). Far away is close at hand: shared mixed reality museum experiences for local and remote museum companions. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Cultural Heritage Informatics Meeting (ICHIM 2003)*, September 8-12, Paris.
- Gjedde, L. (2008, May). *The Flex-learn project: designing a mobile learning platform for truck drivers*. Paper presented at Mobile Monday Conference on Mobile Learning, Copenhagen.
- Harrison, C., Youngman, M., Bailey, M., Fisher, T., Phillips, R., & Restorick, J. (1998). *Multimedia Portables for Teachers Pilot Project Report*. Coventry: British Educational Communications and Technology Agency (Becta).
- High, J. & Fox, C. (1984). *Seven-year olds discover Microwriters*. Implications for literacy of autonomous and collaborative learning in the infant classroom.
- Järvelä, S., Näykki, P., Laru, J., & Luokkanen, T. (2007). Structuring and Regulating Collaborative Learning in Higher Education with Wireless Networks and Mobile Tools. *Educational Technology & Society*, 10 (4), 71-79. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from [http://www.ifets.info/journals/10\\_4/8.pdf](http://www.ifets.info/journals/10_4/8.pdf)
- Kakihara, M., & Sørensen, C. (2002). Mobility: An Extended Perspective. In *Proceedings of the 35th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS-35)*. IEEE, Big Island, Hawaii. 7th-10th January 2002, 1756-1766.

- Kay, A., & Goldberg, A. (1977, March). Personal dynamic media. *Computer*, 10(3), 31–41. doi:10.1109/C-M.1977.217672
- Kukulska-Hulme, A., Evans, D., & Traxler, J. (2005). *Landscape Study on the Use of Mobile and Wireless Technologies for Teaching and Learning in the Post-16 Sector*. JISC-funded project report. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from [http://www.jisc.ac.uk/eli\\_outcomes.html](http://www.jisc.ac.uk/eli_outcomes.html)
- Kukulska-Hulme, A., Heppell, S., Jelfs, A., & Nicholson, A. (2005). Case Studies in Wireless and Mobile Learning in the Post-16 Sector. JISC-funded project report. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from [http://www.jisc.ac.uk/eli\\_outcomes-studies.html](http://www.jisc.ac.uk/eli_outcomes-studies.html)
- Kukulska-Hulme, A., & Traxler, J. (2007). Designing for Mobile and Wireless Learning. In Beetham, H., & Sharpe, R. (Eds.), *Rethinking Pedagogy for a Digital Age: Designing and Delivering E-Learning*. London: Routledge.
- Kukulska-Hulme, A., Traxler, J., & Pettit, J. (2007). Designed and User-generated Activity in the Mobile Age, *Journal of Learning Design*, 2 (1), 52-65. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <http://www.jld.qut.edu.au/publications/vol2no1/>
- Kurti, A., Spikol, D., & Milrad, M. (2008). Bridging Outdoors and Indoors Educational Activities in Schools with the Support of Mobile and Positioning Technologies. *International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organization*, 2(2), 166–186. doi:10.1504/IJMLO.2008.019767
- Lazzari, M. (2009). Creative use of podcasting in higher education and its effect on competitive agency. *Computers & Education*, 52(1), 27–34. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2008.06.002
- Livingstone, D. W. (2001). *Adults' Informal Learning: Definitions, Findings, Gaps and Future Research* (Working paper 21). Toronto: NALL (New Approaches to Lifelong Learning).
- Lonsdale, P., Baber, C., Sharples, M., Byrne, W., Arvanitis, T. N., & Beale, R. (2004). Context Awareness for MOBIlearn: Creating an engaging learning experience in an art museum. In Attewell, J., & Savill-Smith, C. (Eds.), *Mobile Learning Anytime Everywhere: A Book of Papers from MLEARN 2004* (pp. 115–118). London: Learning and Skills Development Agency.
- Luckin, R. (2008). The learner centric ecology of resources: A framework for using technology to scaffold learning. *Computers & Education*, 50(2), 449–462. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2007.09.018
- Luckin, R. (2010). The Ecology of Resources Model of Context: A Unifying Representation for the Development of Learning-Oriented Technologies. In *Proceedings of Second International Conference on Mobile, Hybrid, and On-line Learning*, 10-16 February 2010, 47-52. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/mostRecentIssue.jsp?reload=true&punumber=5429946#>
- m-Learning (2005). M-learning project background. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <http://www.m-learning.org/archive/background.shtml>
- Markett, C., Arnedillo-Sánchez, I., Weber, S., & Tangney, B. (2006). Using Short Message Service (SMS) to Encourage Interactivity. *Computers & Education*, 46(3), 280–293. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2005.11.014
- McFarlane, A., Roche, N., & Triggs, P. (2008). *Researching mobile learning - Interim report to Becta Period: April - December 2007*. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from [http://partners.becta.org.uk/upload-dir/downloads/page\\_documents/research/mobile\\_learning.pdf](http://partners.becta.org.uk/upload-dir/downloads/page_documents/research/mobile_learning.pdf)
- McLeod, J., & Kilpatrick, K. M. (2001). Exploring science at the museum. *Educational Leadership*, 58(7), 59–63.

- Mifsud, L., & Smørdal, O. (2006). *Teacher Perception of Handheld Technology: Pedagogical Practices*. In Proceedings of IADIS Mobile Learning 2006. Dublin: International Association for Development of the Information Society Press.
- Milrad, M. & Jackson, M. (2008). Designing and Implementing Educational Mobile Services in University Classrooms Using Smart Phones and Cellular Networks. Special issue of *International Journal of Engineering Education* on Mobile Technologies for Engineering Education, 24 (1), January 2008, 84-91.
- Mulholland, P., Collins, T., & Zdrahal, Z. (2005). Bletchley Park Text: Using mobile and semantic web technologies to support the post-visit use of online museum resources. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education (Special Issue on Portable Learning: Experiences with Mobile Devices)*, 2005/2. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <http://jime.open.ac.uk/2005/24>
- Naismith, L. (2007). Using text messaging to support administrative communication in higher education. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 8(2), 155–171. doi:10.1177/1469787407078000
- Naismith, L., Lonsdale, P., Vavoula, G., & Sharples, M. (2005). *Literature Review in Mobile Technologies and Learning*, Report 11. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from [http://elearning.typepad.com/thelearnedman/mobile\\_learning/reports/futurelab\\_review\\_11.pdf](http://elearning.typepad.com/thelearnedman/mobile_learning/reports/futurelab_review_11.pdf)
- Naismith, L., Sharples, M., & Ting, J. (2005). Evaluation of CAERUS: a context aware mobile guide. In H. van der Merwe & T. Brown, *Mobile Technology: The Future of Learning in Your Hands, mLearn 2005 Book of Abstracts*, 4th World Conference on mLearning, Cape Town, 25-28 October 2005. Cape Town: mLearn 2005, p.50.
- Nix, J. (2005). *The Development of Mobile Learning for Smartphones*. Paper presented at IADIS Mobile Learning 2005. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from [http://learning.ericsson.net/mlearning2/resources/iadis\\_paper.doc](http://learning.ericsson.net/mlearning2/resources/iadis_paper.doc)
- Pachler, N. (2009). The socio-cultural ecological approach to mobile learning: an overview. In Bachmair, B. (Ed.), *Medienbildung in neuen Kulturräumen: Die deutschsprachige und britische Diskussion* (pp. 155–169). Wiesbaden VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Pachler, N., Bachmair, B., Cook, J., & Gunther, K. (Eds.). (2010). *Mobile Learning: Structures, Agency, Practices*. New York: Springer.
- Pask, A. G. S. (1976). *Conversation Theory: Applications in Education and Epistemology*. Amsterdam, New York: Elsevier.
- Pea, R., & Maldonado, H. (2006). WILD for learning: interacting through new computing devices anytime, anywhere. In Sawyer, K. (Ed.), *Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences* (pp. 427–442). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Perry, D. (2003). *Handheld computers (PDAs) in schools*. British Educational Communications and Technology Agency (Becta), Coventry, March 2003.
- Pierroux, P. (2008, June). *Extending meaning from museum visits through the use of wikis and mobile blogging*. Paper presented at International Perspectives in the Learning Sciences (ICLS 2008), Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Quinn, C. (2000). mLearning: Mobile, Wireless, In-Your-Pocket Learning. *LineZine, Fall 2000*. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <http://www.linezine.com/2.1/features/cqmmwiyp.htm>



- Rao, M., & Mendoza, L. (Eds.). (2005). *Asia Unplugged: The wireless and mobile media boom in the Asia-Pacific*. London: Response Books (A division of Sage Publications).
- Rogers, Y., & Price, S. (2009). *How mobile technologies are changing the way children learn. Mobile Technology for Children: Designing for Interaction and Learning* (pp. 3–22). Elsevier.
- Roschelle, J. (2003). Unlocking the learning value of wireless mobile devices. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19(3), 260–272. doi:10.1046/j.0266-4909.2003.00028.x
- Sampson, D. (2006). Exploiting Mobile and Wireless Technologies in Vocational Training. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Wireless, Mobile and Ubiquitous Technologies in Education (WMUTE 2006)*. Athens, Greece, IEEE. *Computers & Society*, (November): 63–65.
- Scanlon, E., Anastopoulou, S., Kerawalla, L., & Mulholland, P. (in press). Scripting personal inquiry: using technology to represent personal inquiry and support students' understanding of it across contexts. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*.
- Schrader, K. (2006). Nguyen-Dobinsky, T., Kayser, K. & Schrader, T. (2006). Mobile education in autopsy conferences of pathology: presentation of complex cases. *Diagnostic Pathology*, 1, 42. Published online 2006 November 9..doi:10.1186/1746-1596-1-42
- Sharples, M. (2000). The Design of Personal Mobile Technologies for Lifelong Learning. *Computers & Education*, 34, 177–193. doi:10.1016/S0360-1315(99)00044-5
- Sharples, M., Arnedillo-Sánchez, I., Milrad, M., & Vavoula, G. (2009). Mobile Learning: Small devices, Big issues. In Balacheff, N., Ludvigsen, S., de Jong, T., Lazonder, A., Barnes, S., & Montandon, L. (Eds.), *Technology Enhanced Learning: Principles and Products* (pp. 233–249). Berlin: Springer.
- Sharples, M., Corlett, D., & Westmancott, O. (2002). The Design and Implementation of a Mobile Learning Resource. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 6, 220–234. doi:10.1007/s007790200021
- Sharples, M., Lonsdale, P., Meek, J., Rudman, P. D., & Vavoula, G. N. (2007a). *An Evaluation of MyArtSpace: a Mobile Learning Service for School Museum Trips*. In Proceedings of mLearn 2007 conference, Melbourne, Australia.
- Sharples, M., Taylor, J., & Vavoula, G. (2007b). A Theory of Learning for the Mobile Age. In Andrews, R., & Haythornthwaite, C. (Eds.), *The Sage Handbook of Elearning Research* (pp. 221–247). London: Sage.
- Smørdal, O., & Gregory, J. (2003). Personal Digital Assistants in medical education and practice. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19(3), 320–329. doi:10.1046/j.0266-4909.2003.jca\_033.x
- Spikol, D., Kurti, A., & Milrad, M. (2008). Collaboration in Context as a Framework for Designing Innovative Mobile Learning Activities. In Ryu, H., & Parsons, D. (Eds.), *Innovative Mobile Learning: Techniques and Technologies*. Hershey, PA: Idea Group Inc.

Spikol, D., & Milrad, M. (2008). Combining Physical Activities and Mobile Games for Designing Novel Ways of Learning. Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> IEEE international conference on Wireless, Mobile and Ubiquitous Technologies in Education (WMUTE 2008), held in Beijing, China, March 23-26, 2008.

Spikol, D., Milrad, M., Maldonado, H., & Pea, R. (2009). Integrating Co-design Practices into the Development of Mobile Science Collaboratories. In *Proceedings of the 2009 Ninth IEEE international Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies* (July 15 - 17, 2009). ICAIT. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, 393-397.

Stead, G. (2005, October). *Moving Mobile into the Mainstream*. Paper presented at Mlearn 2005, 4th World conference on mLearning. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <http://www.mlearn.org.za/CD/papers/Stead.pdf>

Tough, A. (1971). *The Adult's Learning Projects: A Fresh Approach to Theory and Practice in Adult Learning*. Toronto: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education.

Traxler, J. (2007). Defining, Discussing, and Evaluating Mobile Learning: The moving finger writes and having writ. . . . *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 8(2).

Trinder, K., Guiller, J., Margaryan, A., Littlejohn, A., & Nicol, D. (2008). *Learning from digital natives: bridging formal and informal learning*. HEA Final report, May 2008. Retrieved October 10, 2010, from <http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/projects/detail/projectfinder/projects/pf2969lr>

Vavoula, G., Sharples, M., Rudman, P., Meek, J., & Lonsdale, P. (2009). Myartspace: Design and evaluation of support for learning with multimedia phones between classrooms and museums. *Computers & Education*, 53(2), 286-299. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2009.02.007

Vavoula, G.N., Sharples, M., Rudman, P., Lonsdale, P. & Meek, J. (2007). Learning Bridges: a role for mobile learning in education. *Educational Technology Magazine special issue on 'Highly mobile computing'*, 47(3), 33-36.

Vogel, B., Spikol, D., Kurti, A., & Milrad, M. (2010). Integrating Mobile, Web and Sensory Technologies to Support Inquiry-Based Science Learning. In *Proceedings of the 2010 6th IEEE international Conference on Wireless, Mobile, and Ubiquitous Technologies in Education* (April 12 - 16, 2010). WMUTE. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, 65-72.

Whittlestone, K., Bullock, J., Pirkelbauer, B., & May, S. (2008). *The Significant Factors Affecting Engagement of Veterinary Students with Mobile Learning*. In I. Arnedillo-Sánchez & P. Isaias (Eds.), *Proceedings of IADIS International Conference Mobile Learning 2008*, pp. 135- 139. Lisbon.

Wishart, J. (2008). Challenges faced by Modern Foreign Language Teacher Trainees in using Handheld Pocket PCs (Personal Digital Assistants) to Support Their Teaching and Learning. [Special issue on Mobile Assisted Language Learning]. *ReCALL*, 20(3), 348-360. doi:10.1017/S0958344008000736

Wishart, J., McFarlane, A., & Ramsden, A. (2005, October). *Using personal digital assistants (PDAs) with internet access to support initial teacher training in the UK*. Paper presented at mLearn 2005, 4th World Conference on Mobile Learning. Cape Town.

## ENDNOTES

1. <http://www.wearitatwork.com>
2. <http://www.exact-learning.com/en/research-and-development/completed-projects/wearit-work>

<sup>3.</sup> <http://ltsblogs.org.uk/consolarium/2008/02/08/nintendogs-project-under-way/>

<sup>4.</sup> <http://www.ookl.org.uk>

*This chapter is an expanded and updated version of the following paper: Kukulska-Hulme, A., Sharples, M., Milrad, M., Arnedillo-Sánchez, I. & Vavoula, G. (2009). Innovation in Mobile Learning: A European Perspective. International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning, 1(1), 13–35.*